BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF APPRAIS

2008 MAR 12 AM 11: 18

ARIZONA BOARD OF APPRAISA

2 |

In the Matter of:

MICHAEL S. MASON

Certificate No. 21238

Certified Residential Appraiser

3

1

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2526

Case No. 2379

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

On December 13, 2007, the Arizona Board of Appraisal ("Board") discussed Case No. 2379 regarding Michael S. Mason ("Respondent"). After reviewing the information presented, the Board voted to offer Respondent the opportunity to enter into this Consent Agreement and Order of Discipline ("Consent Agreement").

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Arizona State Board of Appraisal ("Board") is the state agency authorized pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3601 *et seq.*, and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-46-101 *et seq.*, to regulate and control the licensing and certification of real property appraisers in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is a Certified Residential Appraiser in the State of Arizona, holder of Certificate No. 21238, issued on June 9, 2005, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3612.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This case involves the appraisal of property located at 43888 W. McClelland Drive, Maricopa, Arizona, with a date of value of February 13, 2007.
- 2. The Board received a complaint on or about April 23, 2007. The complaint alleged that Respondent over-inflated the value of the property by using comparable sales which were a conflict of interest, as the subject and the two comparables were sold by the same entity. The complainant also alleges that recent sales were ignored.

- 3. The Board's investigation revealed the following deficiencies with the report prepared by Respondent:
 - a. The appraisal report states of the subject neighborhood, as of February 2007, that "Property values increasing," "Demand/supply in balance," "Growth rapid," and Marketing time 3 to 6 months." These statements are not supported by "See Attached Addendum," the work file, or well-publicized incentives, concessions, and general market downturn in the town of Maricopa, Arizona, in 2006 and into 2007;
 - b. The subject's prior sale February 2, 2007, at \$300,000 was not a below market transaction. The report states in the summary that the subject was sold below market as it was the contract price of the home before the home was built. This is misleading;
 - c. There were substantial errors of omission in the discussion of Sale No. 1 in that the reporting of the upgrades and in the statements in the report that the property "Did not transfer in the past three years," contrary to the MLS. Sale No. 2 was also reported as "Did not transfer in the past three years," contrary to the MLS;
 - d. There was no work file data in support of Cost Approach statements from Marshall and Swift and local builders, and depreciation method utilized is age/life. Also depreciation was concluded at \$3.368 (1 percent), and is not credible.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3635, a certified or licensed appraiser in the State of Arizona must comply with the standards of practice adopted by the Arizona State Board of Appraisal. The Standards of Practice adopted by the Board are codified in the USPAP edition applicable at the time of the appraisal.
- 2. The conduct described above constitutes violations of the following provisions of the USPAP, 2006 edition: Standards Rule 1-1(b); Standards Rule 1-1(c); Standards Rule 1-2(e)(iv); Standards Rule 1-4(c); Standards Rule 1-5(a); Standards Rule 1-6; Standards Rule 2-2(b)(iii); Standards Rule 2-2(b)(viii), and the Ethics Rule Conduct and Recordkeeping.

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree to the following:

- 1. Beginning on the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent's Certificate No. 21238 shall be placed on probation for a minimum of six (6) months. During the term of probation, Respondent shall: (a) demonstrate resolution of the problems that resulted in this disciplinary action; and (b) otherwise comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement.
- 2. Respondent shall successfully complete the following education within 6 months of the effective date of this Consent Agreement: (a). A minimum of six (6) hours in Mortgage Fraud; (b). A seven (7) hour USPAP update course, and (c). A minimum of fourteen (14) hours in Sales Comparison Approach and/or Market Analysis/Trends coursework.
- 3. The mortgage fraud and USPAP update courses discussed under paragraph 2 may be counted toward the continuing education requirements for the renewal of

Respondent's certificate. The same classes may not be repeated to fulfill the education requirements in paragraph 2.

- 4. The Board reserves the right to audit any of Respondent's reports and conduct peer review, as deemed necessary, during the probationary period. The Board may, in its discretion, seek separate disciplinary action against the Respondent for any violation of the applicable statutes and rules discovered in an audit of the Respondent's appraisal reports provided to the Board under the terms of this Consent Agreement.
- 5. Respondent's probation shall continue until: (a) Respondent petitions the Board for termination as provided in paragraph 7, and (b) the Board terminates the probation.
- 6. At the end of six (6) months from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, the Respondent must petition the Board for termination of his probation, and the Respondent shall submit **two** appraisals to the Board for auditing purposes. If the Board determines that Respondent has not complied with all the requirements of this Consent Agreement, the Board, at its sole discretion, may either: (a) continue the probation, including mentorship; or (b) institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Consent Agreement, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary and/or remedial action.
- 7. Respondent shall not act as a supervising appraiser for other appraisers or trainees, nor shall he act as a mentor, during the term of the probation. Respondent shall also not teach any course related to real estate appraisals during the term of the probation.
- 8. Respondent shall comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice in performing all appraisals.
- 9. If, between the effective date of this Consent Agreement and the termination of Respondent's probation by the Board, Respondent fails to renew his license while under this Consent Agreement and subsequently applies for a license or

certificate, the remaining terms of this Consent Agreement, including probation, shall be imposed if the application for license or certificate is granted.

- 10. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.
- 11. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning each and every allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such an administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 12. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 13. The parties agree that this Consent Agreement constitutes final resolution of this disciplinary matter.
 - 14. Time is of the essence with regard to this agreement.
- 15. If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement, the Board shall properly institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Consent Agreement, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary and/or remedial actions. Respondent agrees that any violation of this Consent Agreement is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3631(A)(8), which is willfully disregarding or violating any of

1400 West Washington Street, Suite 360 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Board of Appraisal

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed regular and U.S. Certified Mail # 7006 0100 0002 8652 389 this 12th day of march, 2008, to:
2	
3	Michael S. Mason 2636 N. 63 rd Street
4	Mesa, Arizona 85215 Respondent
5	
6	this day of March, 2008, to:
7	Jeanne M. Galvin
8	Arizona Attorney General's Office 1275 W. Washington Phagning A.7, 25007
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007 Attorney for the Board
10	Δ.
11	By: Lebour G. Learon
12	By. J GOODLE JOI. Tests of the
13	#106913
14	
15	
16	
17	3
18	
19	•
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
- 1	1